

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2007) KLR VOL 5 PART 238 pp. 2401 - 2568**

**MAY 2007**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### **MAY CONTD.**

11. Ndidi v. State p. 2401
12. Odetayo v. Bamidele p. 2433
13. Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443
14. Osunbade v. Oyewunmi p. 2491
15. United Bank for Africa Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505
16. Yadis Nigeria Limited v. Great Nigeria Insurance Limited p. 2535

**ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 5 KLR**

ACTIONS - Commencement - Originating Summons - Use of - Is not used in commencing hostile proceedings - Where the facts are in dispute as in this case (H1) Osunbade v. Oyewunmi p. 2491

APPEALS - Conviction - Interference - Concurrent findings - Can only be disturbed by the Supreme Court - Where shown to be perverse (H1) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

APPEALS - Issues - Insurance contract - Courts - Where Court of Appeal decided the appeal - On a single issue formulated by it - No miscarriage of justice was done - As that issue captured the crux of the matter (H2) Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd p. 2535

APPEALS - Issues - Competence - Respondent's issues - That are not related to the ground of appeal - Will be struck out as incompetent (H6) Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd p. 2535

APPEALS - Issues - Consideration - Where lower court considered only the issue of jurisdiction - Case will be remitted to it - To be determined on the merits (H3) Odetayo v. Bamidele p. 2433

APPEALS - Issues - Reply brief - Judgments - Allegation that failure to consider all issues - Raised in the reply brief - Occasioned miscarriage of justice - Or breach of fair hearing - Is not substantiated (H1) Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd p. 2535

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Lack of - Court of Appeal is advised to express an alternative opinion - On the substantive issue - Save in well settled issue of use of Originating Summons - Where going into other issues amounted to academic exercise (H2) Osunbade v. Oyewunmi p. 2491

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Preliminary objection - Purport of preliminary objection and issues for determination - Preliminary objection is not raised vide issues for determination - As wrongfully done by

respondents (H1) *Odunze v. Nwosu* p. 2443

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - To notice of appeal - That is totally misconceived and not properly initiated - Will be struck out (H2) *Odunze v. Nwosu* p. 2443

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Supremacy - Statute that is inconsistent with the Constitution - Is to that extent void (H2) *Odetayo v. Bamidele* p. 2433

CONTRACTS - Breach - Appeals - Insurance contract - Interpretation - Provision of clause 8(c) as to removal of insured goods to another building - Was rightly interpreted by lower courts - To require approval of defendant - Not just plaintiff's written notification - Breach of which entitles defendant to avoid the policy (H5) *Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd* p. 2535

CONTRACTS - Interpretation - Cross appeal - Clause 8(c) of the contract being very clear - Court of Appeal erred in making a finding - That will alter the meaning of that clause (H7) *Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd* p. 2535

CONTRACTS - Performance - Privity - Stranger to the contract between the parties - Cannot be dragged into the contract by appellants - Who failed to perform their own part (H3) *UBA Plc v. Jargaba* p. 2505

CONTRACTS - Written contract - Construction of - Court's function is to give effect to the agreed terms - Parties understanding of the clause is irrelevant - As court is concerned with interpretation of the words used (H4) *Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd* p. 2535

COURTS - Issues in dispute - Customary Court - As its proceedings are not handled vide pleadings - Trial and appellate courts have to discern the issues in dispute - By examining the claim and parties' evidence (H4)

**iv INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 5 KLR**

Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Armed Robbery - Identification - Quality of evidence on recognition of appellant - Was very low and poor to ground conviction (H5) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Armed robbery - Concurrent findings - Where ascription of probative values was erroneous - Conviction will not be sustained (H6) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Courts - Identification of accused - Where case of accused depends substantially thereupon - Trial judge must warn himself of need for special caution - Before convicting accused (H3) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification - Or recognition of accused - Meaning - Guideline in R. v. Turnbull - Will not apply hook line and sinker - Where witness knew accused before the incident (H4) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification evidence - Meaning - What courts should consider to guard against mistaken identity - Includes the lighting conditions (H2) Ndidi v. State p. 2401

EVIDENCE - Admissions - Definition - Agency - Banking - Admission by 2nd appellant vide the exhibits - That were not countered - Will be relied upon by court as relevant (H7) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Appeals - Documents - Letters - Delivery and receipt of - Where there is no signature of receiver - On the counter part copy - Receipt of the original copy is not proved - By mere tendering of the counter part copy - As rightly held by lower Courts (H3) Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd p. 2535

EVIDENCE - Proof - Civil suit - Burden of proof - Lies on the party that would fail - If no evidence at all were given on either side (H4) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

LAND LAW - Boundaries - Two methods of proof - Are filing a plan or oral description a surveyor can rely on to make a plan - Plaintiff's case must collapse - As boundaries were not proved (H7) Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

LAND LAW - Issues - Misconception - Boundary dispute - Relates to issue of ownership - Burden of proving identity of land and boundaries rests on plaintiff - Court of Appeal misconceived the issues unto error (H5) Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

LAND LAW - Jurisdiction - Rural land - Land Use Act s. 41 - Cannot oust High Court's unlimited jurisdiction under s. 236 1999 Constitution - Adisa case now donates concurrent jurisdiction - To High Court and Customary Court (H1) Odetayo v. Bamidele p. 2433

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of the land - Boundaries - Proof of boundaries - Is plaintiff's first duty - Before delving into other elements of proof of ownership (H6) Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of the land - Plaintiff has the onus of showing identity of the land with certainty - Vide oral description or survey plan - Save where parties know the land (H3) Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Any of the five methods of proof outlined in Idundun case - Were not complied with - As plaintiffs failed to satisfy the first hurdle - Of proving identity of land and its boundaries (H8) Odunze v. Nwosu p. 2443

SUMMARY JUDGMENTS - Purpose of - Courts resort to summary judgment - For quick disposition of cases - Where there is no dispute as

**vi INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2007) 5 KLR**

to material facts - Or if only question of law is involved (H1) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

UNDEFENDED SUITS - Admissions - Exhibits attached to affidavits - Where they contain admission by 2nd appellant - As to the amount claimed - No further proof of that fact is required (H5) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

UNDEFENDED SUITS - Intention to defend - Affidavit in support thereof - Must disclose a defence on the merit - For the matter to be transferred to general cause list - Bare denial or vague allegation of fraud - Will not suffice (H2) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

UNDEFENDED SUITS - Leave to defend - Liberality of courts in granting leave - Can only be exercised - Where triable issues are disclosed vide defendant's affidavits (H6) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979 s. 236 Odetayo v. Bamidele p. 2433

Evidence Act ss. 19, 20, 135, 136 and 149 UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505; ss. 91 and 138 Yadis Ltd. v. Great Nig. Insurance Ltd p. 2535

Kaduna State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules, 1987 O. 22 r. 3(1) UBA Plc v. Jargaba p. 2505

Land Use Act 1978 ss.3, 39 and 41 Odetayo v. Bamidele p. 2433

Oyo State High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 1988 O. 2 r. 2, O. 38 rr. (1), (2) and (5) Osunbade v. Oyewunmi p. 2491

Robbery and firearms (Specials provisions) Decree 1984 as amended s.1 (2)(a) & 4(b) Ndidi v. State p. 2401